The phonemic restoration effect reveals pre-N400 effect of supportive sentence context in speech perception
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ABSTRACT

The phonemic restoration effect refers to the tendency for people to hallucinate a phoneme replaced by a non-speech sound (e.g., a tone) in a word. This illusion can be influenced by preceding sentential context providing information about the likelihood of the missing phoneme. The saliency of the illusion suggests that supportive context can affect relatively low (phonemic or lower) levels of speech processing. Indeed, a previous event-related brain potential (ERP) investigation of the phonemic restoration effect found that the processing of coughs replacing high versus low probability phonemes in sentential words differed from each other as early as the auditory N1 (120–180 ms post-stimulus); this result, however, was confounded by physical differences between the high and low probability speech stimuli, thus it could have been caused by factors such as habituation and not by supportive context. We conducted a similar ERP experiment avoiding this confound by using the same auditory stimuli preceded by text that made critical phonemes more or less probable. We too found the robust N400 effect of phoneme/word probability, but did not observe the early N1 effect. We did however observe a left posterior effect of phoneme/word probability around 192–224 ms—clear evidence of a relatively early effect of supportive sentence context in speech comprehension distinct from the N400.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Accepted 1 September 2010

Keywords:
Phonemic restoration effect
Speech comprehension
N400
ERP

1. Introduction

Like many of our perceptual abilities, speech perception is a difficult computational problem that we humans accomplish with misleading ease. Although we are not typically consciously aware of it, the sonic instantiation of the same utterance can vary dramatically from speaker to speaker or even across multiple utterances from the same speaker (Peterson and Barney, 1952). This superficial variation and other factors such as environmental noise make speech perception a remarkable challenge that is still generally beyond the abilities of artificial speech recognition (O’Shaughnessy, 2003).

So how do we accomplish such an impressive perceptual feat? A partial answer to this question is that we use preceding linguistic context to inform our comprehension of incoming speech. Indeed, natural languages are highly redundant communication systems. In other words, given even a
modicum of linguistic context (e.g., a word or two of an
utterance), we typically have some idea of how the utterance
might continue. Studies have clearly demonstrated that
preceding sentence context makes it easier for people to
perceive likely continuations of that sentence. Specifically,
listeners can identify words more rapidly (Grosjean, 1980) and
can better identify words obscured by noise (e.g., Miller et al.,
1951) when the words are (more) likely given previous
sentence context. The great benefit of linguistic context is
also evident in artificial speech comprehension systems,
whose accuracy can increase by orders of magnitude when a
word’s preceding context is used to help identify the word
(Steinbiss et al., 1995).

While it is clear that preceding context aids speech
comprehension, the mechanisms of this process remain
largely unknown. In particular, there is no consensus on
whether early stages of auditory processing (e.g., initial
processing at phonemic and sub-phonemic levels) are affected
by top-down constraints from more abstract lexical or
discourse processes. “Interactive” models of speech proces-
sing (McClelland and Elman, 1986; Mirman et al., 2006b)
generally posit that such top-down effects are possible while
“feedforward” models (Norris et al., 2000; Norris and McQueen,
2008) assume no such mechanisms exist. Both types of models
are generally consistent with a large body of behavioral
findings (McClelland et al., 2006), though disagreements as to
the implications of some behavioral results do remain
(McQueen et al., 2006; Mirman et al., 2006a).

Interactive models seem more neurally plausible given the
general preponderance of feedback connections among corti-
cal areas (McClelland et al., 2006), evidence of low level
anticipatory activity to simple auditory stimuli (e.g., tone
sequences—Baldegew, 2006; Bendixen et al., 2009), evidence of
low level effects of auditory attention (Giard et al., 2000),
evidence of low level effects of word boundary knowledge
(Sanders et al., 2002), and general theories of predictive
cortical processing (Friston, 2005; Summerfield and Egner,
2009). Nevertheless, it is not unreasonable to assume that top-
down effects play little-to-no-role in early speech processing
for several reasons. First of all, it may be that the mapping
from abstract levels of linguistic processing to phonemic and
sub-phonemic levels is too ambiguous to be very useful. As
already mentioned, the acoustic instantiation of a word can
vary greatly between individuals, between repeated utter-
ances by the same individual, and between difference
linguistic contexts (Peterson and Barney, 1952). Thus knowing
the likelihood of the next phoneme may not provide that
much information about incoming acoustic patterns. Second-
ly, the time constraints of any top-down mechanism also
might limit its utility. It probably takes around 200 to 300 ms
for a speech stimulus to influence semantic and syntactic
processing (Kutas et al., 2006) and yet even more time for that
activity to feedback to auditory cortex. If typical speech rates
are around 5 syllables per second (Tsao et al., 2006) and 111
syllables typically consist of two to three phonemes (i.e., 67–
100 ms per phoneme), then any abstract linguistic information
provided by the preceding 2–5 phonemes cannot aid the low-
level processing of an incoming phoneme. Finally, even if they
could be useful in principle, the brain may simply not have
such feedback mechanisms.

1.1. Previous research

The time course of abstract linguistic context effects on speech
comprehension has been most clearly studied using event-
related brain potentials (ERPs). Decades of ERP research have
found that sentence context greatly influences the brain’s
average response to a word. The most robust effect of sentence
context on speech comprehension is the N400 ERP
d component (Kutas and Hillyard, 1980; Kutas and Federmeier,
2000; Lau et al., 2008; Van Petten and Luka, 2006), which occurs
from approximately 220 to 600 ms post-word onset and is
depicted broadly distributed across the scalp with a medial centro-
parietal focus. Multiple studies have shown that N400 ampli-
tude is negatively correlated with the probability of occur-
rence of the eliciting word given previous sentence context
(Dambacher et al., 2006; DeLong et al., 2005; Kutas and Hillyard,
1984) or discourse context (van Berkum et al., 1999). However,
this correlation can be over-ridden by semantic factors such as
the semantic similarity of a word to a highly probable word
(Federmeier and Kutas, 1999; Kutas and Hillyard, 1984). Indeed,
the N400’s sensitivity to such semantic manipulations, and
relative insensitivity to other types of linguistic factors (e.g.,
syntactic and phonetic relationships) has led to a general
consensus that the N400 primarily reflects some type of
semantic processing (e.g., the retrieval of information from
semantic memory and/or the integration of incoming seman-
tic information with previous context—Kutas and Federmeier,
2000; Friederici, 2002; Hagoort et al., 2004). Thus it is clear that
supportive sentence context is generally closely related to the
semantic processing of a word.

A few pre-N400 effects of sentence comprehension also
have been reported, but the effects are not as reliable nor as
functionally well understood as the N400 (Kutas et al., 2006). Of
particular relevance to this report are effects that are believed
to be related to phonemic or relatively low-level semantic
processing. The two most studied such effects are the
“phonological mismatch negativity” (PMN) and the “N200.”

The PMN (originally called the N200), first reported by
Connolly et al. (1990), is typically defined as the most negative
ERP peak between 150 and 350 ms after the onset of the first
phoneme of a word, with a mean peak latency around 235–
157 257 ms (Connolly and Phillips, 1994). The PMN is more
negative to low probability phonemes than to higher proba-
bility phonemes and (when elicited by sentences) is generally
distributed broadly across the scalp with either non-

---

1 According to Genzel and Charniak (2002), the entropy of the
distribution of written sentences between 3 and 25 words in
length is approximately between 7 and 8 bits. Bates (1999) claims
that fluent adults know between 20,000 and 40,000 words. If a
speaker produced utterances from a set of 20,000 words where
each word was equally likely and independent of previous words,
the entropy of sentences between 3 and 25 words in length would
be between 43 and 357 bits. Similarly, Philip B. Gough (1983) has
estimated that readers can predict the 9th open class word (e.g.,
nouns, verbs) of 30% of sentences with greater than 10% accuracy
and they can predict the 9th closed class word (e.g., pronouns,
articles) of 78% of sentences with greater than 10% accuracy.
Clearly there is a massive degree of redundancy in natural
language (see also Gough et al., 1981).
The N200 (originally called the N250) ERP component, first reported by Hagoort and Brown (2000), is very similar to the PMN. It is a negative going deflection in the ERP to word onsets that typically occurs between 150 and 250 ms (van den Brink et al., 2001; van den Brink and Hagoort, 2004). It is broadly distributed across the scalp rather uniformly or with a centro-parietal focus that is rather similar to that of the N400 (Hagoort and Brown, 2000; van den Brink et al., 2001; van den Brink and Hagoort, 2004). Like the PMN, the N200 is more negative to improbable words and is believed to reflect a lower-level of linguistic processing than the N400 due to its earlier onset. However, van den Brink and colleagues (2001, 2004) argue that the N200 reflects lexical processing rather than phonological processing because the N200 also has been elicited by highly probable words.

Despite this evidence, it is currently not clear if the PMN or the N200 are indeed distinct from the N400. All three effects are functionally quite similar, in that they are elicited by spoken words and are more negative to improbable words.

Although, as mentioned above, Connolly and colleagues have argued that the N400 effect should not be elicited by low probability, sensible words, there is ample evidence that the N400 is indeed elicited by such stimuli (Dambacher et al., 2006; DeLong et al., 2005; Kutas and Hillyard, 1984). Moreover, the topographies of the effects are quite similar and have not been shown to reliably differ. Although some studies have found subtle differences between PMN or N200 topographies and that of the N400 (D’Arcy et al., 2004; van den Brink et al., 2001), other studies have failed to find significant differences (Connolly and Phillips, 1994; Connolly et al., 1992, 1999; Hagoort and Brown, 2000; Revonsuo et al., 1998; van den Brink and Hagoort, 2004). Finally, the fact that the PMN and N200 occur before the N400 could potentially be explained by a subset of stimuli for which participants are able to identify critical phonemes/words more rapidly than usual. This could result from co-articulation effects that precede critical phonemes and could facilitate participants’ ability to anticipate critical phonemes/words or from having particularly early isolation points in critical words. Indeed, only one of the PMN and N200 studies referenced above (Revonsuo et al., 1998) controlled for co-articulation effects.

In light of these considerations and the results of multiple studies that have failed to find any pre-N400 effects of sentence context on word comprehension (Diaz and Swaab, 2007; Friederici et al., 2004; Van Petten et al., 1999), the existence of pre-N400 effects of sentence context on phonemic or semantic processing remains uncertain.

1.2. Goal of the current study

The goal of this study was to investigate the existence of relatively early level (i.e., pre-N400) effects of sentence context on speech comprehension using a novel paradigm that may be more powerful than that used in conventional speech ERP studies. The experimental paradigm is based on the phonemic restoration effect (Warren, 1970), an auditory illusion in which listeners hallucinate a phoneme replaced by a non-speech sound (e.g., a tone) in a word.

The premise of our approach is that the ERPs to the noise stimulus in the phonemic restoration effect would better reveal context effects on initial speech processing than ERPs to words per se because the clear onset of the noise stimulus should provide clearer auditory evoked potentials (EPs) than are typically found in ERPs time-locked to word onset. Indeed, ERPs to spoken word onsets often produce no clear auditory EPs (e.g., Connolly et al., 1992; Friederici et al., 2004; Sivonen et al., 2006) presumably due to variability across items, difficult to define word onsets, and auditory habituation from previous words. Moreover, there is some evidence that the phonemic restoration effect is influenced by preceding sentential context that provides information about the likelihood of the missing phoneme (Samuel, 1981). This, the saliency of the illusion (Elman and McClelland, 1988), and fMRI evidence that the superior temporal sulcus (an area involved in relatively low level auditory processing—Tierney, 2010) is involved in the illusion (Shahin et al., 2009) suggest that sentence context modifies early processing of phonemic restoration effect noise stimuli and ERPs to the noise stimuli might be able to detect this.

In fact, a study by Sivonen et al. (2006) suggests this is the case. Sivonen et al. measured the ERPs to coughs that replaced the initial phonemes of sentence final words that were highly probable or improbable given the preceding sentence context. During the N1 time window (120–180 ms), the ERPs to coughs that replaced highly probable initial phonemes were found to be more negative than those that replaced improbable phonemes. This result, however, was confounded by physical differences between the high and low probability speech stimuli. Thus, their early effect could have been caused by factors such as habituation (Naatanen and Winkler, 1999) and not by supportive sentence.

3 The fact that Van Petten et al. failed to find a pre-N400 effect in their study is particularly notable as they contrasted ERPs to the same types of stimuli as Connolly et al. (1994) and van den Brink et al. (2001, 2004). They found no evidence of a pre-N400 effect in the grand average waveforms or in single participant averages. Indeed, their analysis suggests that the PMN in particular (which has often been identified in single participant averages—e.g., Connolly et al., 1992) may simply be residual alpha activity.
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2. Results

2.1. Experiment 1: cloze norming experiment

Participant accuracy on the comprehension questions was near ceiling regardless of the type of sentence context. Mean accuracy following ambiguous and informative contexts was 97% (SD = 3%) and 96% (SD = 3%) respectively. Moreover, participants were all at least 85% accurate following either context. With the relatively large number of participants, the tendency for participants to be more accurate following ambiguous contexts reached significance (t(60) = 2.14, p = 0.04, d = 0.27), but the difference is too small to be of interest.

The effect of preceding sentence context on critical phoneme probability was quantified in two ways: the cloze probability of the implied critical phoneme and the entropy of the distribution of all possible phonemes. Cloze probability is the proportion of participants who provided that phoneme as the next phoneme in the continuation of the sentence during the cloze norming task. Entropy is the estimated mean log of the probability of all possible phoneme continuations given previous context (Shannon, 1948) and quantifies how predictable the next phoneme is. A perfectly predictable phoneme would result in an entropy of 0 bits. As uncertainty increases so does entropy until it reaches a maximal value of implied phonemes/words being more negative (an N400 effect).

Table 1 – Mean (SD) estimates of phoneme and word probabilities given different preceding sentence contexts from Experiment 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Context</th>
<th>Cloze probability of implied phoneme</th>
<th>Cloze probability of implied word</th>
<th>Phoneme entropy</th>
<th>Word entropy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Informative</td>
<td>0.50 (0.30)</td>
<td>0.46 (0.30)</td>
<td>1.79 (0.88)</td>
<td>2.19 (1.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambiguous</td>
<td>0.16 (0.22)</td>
<td>0.10 (0.19)</td>
<td>2.50 (0.78)</td>
<td>3.19 (0.93)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2. Experiments 2 and 3: behavioral results

Participant comprehension question accuracy in the phonemic restoration experiments was near ceiling. In Experiment 2, mean accuracy after reading ambiguous and informative contexts was 95% (SD = 5%) and 95% (SD = 3%), respectively, and did not significantly differ (t(33) = 0.16, p = 0.08, d = 0.28). Minimum participant accuracy following either context was 74% and 80% in Experiments 2 and 3, respectively.

Fig. 1 summarizes the analysis of participants’ perceptual reports. In Experiment 2, sentence contexts affected participants’ perceptual expectations in the expected way. After reading the informative sentence contexts, participants were more likely to perceive the spoken sentences as intact (i.e., not missing any phonemes; t(33) = 9.00, p = 1e–6, d = 1.54). Moreover, when participants reported that the spoken sentence was intact, they were more likely to report implied words (as opposed to the word that was actually spoken) after reading the informative context (t(33) = 26.70, p = 3e–24, d = 5.48). However, in Experiment 3, only the latter finding replicated (t(34) = 6.28, p = 4e–5, d = 1.06) and participants only tended to be more likely to report intact sentences after reading informative contexts (t(36) = 1.40, p = 0.08, d = 0.23).

2.3. Experiment 3: ERP results

Fig. 2 presents the ERPs to tones following informative or ambiguous sentence contexts, time locked to tone onset. A clear auditory N1 is visible from 80 to 140 ms, followed by a P2 from around 160 to 270 ms. Between 200 and 300 ms, the two sets of ERPs begin to diverge at central and posterior electrodes, with the ERPs to tones that replace less probable phonemes/words being more negative (an N400 effect).

---

4 In all t-test results is Cohen’s d (Cohen, 1988), a standardized measure of effect size.
5 Entropy is conventionally measured using log base 2 and the resulting value is said to be in units of “bits.”
6 Entropy is similar to the more commonly used measure of contextual “constraint” (e.g., Federmeier and Kutas, 1999), which is the highest cloze probability of all possible continuations. We choose to use entropy because it reflects the probability of all possible continuations (not just the most probable) and is thus a richer measure of uncertainty.

7 Two participants did not report any sentences as intact after reading either or both written sentence contexts and were excluded from this analysis.
Fig. 1 – Effects of written sentence context (informative or ambiguous) on perceptions of subsequently heard sentences. (Left) The proportion of trials in which participants reported hearing an intact sentence (i.e., not missing any phonemes). (Right) The proportion of perceived-intact sentences for which participants reported hearing the word that was implied by the informative context (as opposed to the word that was actually spoken). All error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals derived via the bias corrected and accelerated bootstrap (10,000 bootstrap resamples).

Fig. 2 – ERPs to the onset of tones that replaced phonemes in sentences that followed informative or ambiguous written sentence contexts. ERP figure locations represent corresponding electrode scalp locations. Up/down on the figure corresponds to anterior/posterior on the scalp and left/right on the figure corresponds to left/right on the scalp. See cartoon heads in Fig. 3 for a more exact visualization of electrode scalp locations.

Please cite this article as: Groppe, D.M., et al., The phonemic restoration effect reveals pre-N400 effect of supportive sentence context in speech perception, Brain Res. (2010), doi:10.1016/j.brainres.2010.09.003
2.3.1. N1

Based on Sivonen et al. (2006), we expected the N1 to tones following informative contexts to be ~1.71 µV more negative than that to tones following ambiguous contexts. To test for this effect, a repeated measures ANOVA was performed on mean ERP amplitudes in the N1 time window (80 to 140 ms) with factors of Sentence Context and Electrode. p-values for this and all other repeated measures ANOVAs in this report were Epsilon corrected (Greenhouse–Geiser) for potential violations of the repeated measures ANOVA'sphericity assumption. Both the main effect of Context ($F(1,36)=0.06$, $p=0.81$) and the Context x Electrode interaction ($F(25,900)=1.66$, $p=0.18$) failed to reach significance. Indeed, the difference between conditions tends to be in the opposite direction (Fig. 3). To determine if this failure to replicate their N1 effect was due to a lack of statistical power, we performed a two-tailed, repeated measures $t$-test at all electrodes against a null hypothesis of a difference of 1.71 µV (i.e., that the ERPs to tones following informative contexts were 1.71 µV more negative). Thus, the effect found by Sivonen et al. is clearly not produced in the present experiment.

2.3.2. N400

In addition to an N1 effect, a somewhat delayed N400 effect of context was expected based on Sivonen et al. A clear tendency for a late N400 effect was found in our data between 400 and 800 ms (Fig. 2). A repeated measures ANOVA on mean ERP amplitudes in this time window found that the ERPs to informative contexts at electrodes (all $p<1e-6$). Thus, the effect found by Sivonen et al. is clearly not produced in the present experiment.

Sivonen et al. (2006) used a later N1 time window in their analysis (120-180 ms) as the N1 in their data occurred later (presumably due to the fact that they used coughs instead of tones to replace phonemes). To ensure that our failure to replicate Sivonen and colleagues’ results was not due to the difference in time windows, we repeated our N1 analyses using their later time window. All test results were qualitatively identical.

Sivonen et al. found that the N400 effect to coughs that replaced phonemes was not significant until 380–520 ms post-cough onset. This time window was subjectively defined primarily by the scalp topography of the context effect. However, as can be seen in the $t$-score representation of the context effect (top axis of Fig. 4) the effect of context does not remarkably deviate from zero at a large number of electrodes until around 400 ms post-tone onset. The effect of context remains significant after 800 ms, but the topography of the effect is somewhat more right lateralized or posterior than is typical of the N400.
tones following ambiguous contexts were indeed more negative than those to ones following informative contexts (main effect of Context: $F(1,36)=23.45, p<1e^{-4}$). Moreover, this effect had a canonical N400 distribution (Fig. 3) being largest at central/posterior electrodes and slightly right lateralized (Electrode x Context interaction: $F(25,900)=14.88, p<1e^{-4}$).

2.3.3. Pre-N400 effect

To determine if context produced any ERP effects prior to the N400 effect, two-tailed repeated measures t-tests were performed at every time point from 10 ms (the onset of the initial cortical response to an auditory stimulus—Naatanen and Winkler, 1999) to 250 ms (an approximate lower-bound on the onset of the N400 effect to speech in standard N400 paradigms) and at all 26 scalp electrodes. Time points outside of this time window were ignored for this analysis in order to increase statistical power by minimizing the number of statistical tests. Again, the $t_{\text{max}}$ permutation procedure was used to correct for multiple comparisons. This analysis (Fig. 4: Top) found that ERPs to tones following informative contexts were more positive than those following ambiguous contexts from 192 to 204 ms and 212 to 224 ms at the left lateral occipital electrode (LLOc; all $\alpha<0.05$). The mean ERP difference between conditions in this time window (192-224 ms) shows a left-posterior distribution (Fig. 3) that is markedly distinct from that of the N400 effect.

Given the mean duration of tones (141 ms), it is possible that this effect was produced by speech following the tone rather than the tone itself. To determine if this was the case, ERPs were formed time locked to tone offset (Fig. 5) and effects of context were tested for with the $t_{\text{max}}$ procedure in the time window where the LLOc effect should occur, 51 to 83 ms. This analysis found no significant effects (all $p>0.68$; Fig. 4: Bottom).

To assess the functional correlates of the LLOc effect, repeated measures ordinary least squares (OLS) multiple regression (Lorch and Myers, 1990) was performed on the mean single trial amplitude at electrode LLOc from 192 to 224 ms post tone offset. Predictors in the analysis were: (1) the mean of the cloze probabilities of the implied phonemes and words, (2) the mean of phoneme and word entropies, (3) whether or not the sentence was perceived as intact, (4) whether or not the implied word was perceived, and (5) the number of words in the written sentence context. The averages of phoneme and word probabilities and entropies were used because they were so highly correlated that including each individual phoneme and word predictor would greatly diminish the power of the analysis to detect a relationship with cloze probability or entropy. One participant was excluded from the analysis because he perceived all sentences as missing phonemes.

The only significant predictor of EEG amplitude found by the analysis was the cloze probability of the implied phoneme/word (Table 2). To determine the degree to which collinearity between predictors may have hurt the power of the regression analysis, the co-predictor $R^2$ was calculated for each predictor (Berry and Feldman, 1985). The co-predictor $R^2$ for a predictor is obtained by using OLS multiple regression to determine how much of that predictor’s variance can be explained by the rest of the predictors. $R^2$ achieves a maximal value of one (i.e., perfect collinearity) if the other predictors can explain all of the variance. Four of the predictors show a relatively high degree of collinearity ($0.66<r^{2}<0.7$). However, since the degree of collinearity was nearly equal for all four variables, none were disproportionally affected and collinearity alone cannot explain why three of these four predictors were not shown to be reliable.

Finally, in an attempt to determine if the LLOc effect reflects phoneme or word level processing, a second repeated measures OLS multiple regression analysis was performed. The response variable was the same as in the previous regression analysis and the predictors in the analysis were: (1) the mean of the cloze probabilities of the implied phonemes and words, (2) whether or not the tone replaced word initial phonemes, and (3) the product of the first two predictors. The logic of the analysis was that if the LLOc effect is a correlate of word level processing, the relationship between the effect and cloze probability could vary as a function of the missing phonemes’ word position. This interaction between cloze and word position would be detected by the third predictor, which acts as an interaction term in the regression model. Additional predictor variables were ignored to increase the power of the analysis and because only cloze probability was shown to reliably correlate with the LLOc effect in the original regression analysis. Results of the analysis are presented in Table 3 and show no evidence of an effect of word position.
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3. Discussion

The main purpose of this study was to use the phonemic restoration effect to detect the modulation of early stages of speech processing due to supportive sentence context. More specifically, we analyzed the brain’s response to tones that replaced relatively high or low probability phonemes. Phoneme probability was manipulated by having participants read informative or ambiguous sentence contexts before hearing the spoken sentence. The informative contexts strongly implied a particular missing phoneme/word that

Fig. 5 – ERPs to the offset of tones that replaced phonemes in sentences that followed informative or ambiguous written sentence context. ERP figure locations represent corresponding electrode scalp locations. Up/down on the figure corresponds to anterior/posterior on the scalp and left/right on the figure corresponds to left/right on the scalp. See ERP cartoon head in Fig. 3 for a more exact visualization of electrode scalp locations.

Table 2 – Results of a multiple regression analysis of the mean EEG amplitude from 192 to 224 ms post-tone onset at electrode LLOc. Degrees of freedom for all t-scores is 35. $R^2$ values for the full regression model, participant predictors, and non-participant predictors are 0.024, 0.004, and 0.020 (respectively). These $R^2$ values are comparable to other applications of regression analysis to single trial EEG data (Dambacher et al., 2006). Abbreviations: IQR = interquartile range, NA = not applicable. * indicates $p$-value less than 0.05.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>co-efficient 95%</th>
<th>Coefficient confidence interval</th>
<th>t-Score</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
<th>Cohen’s d</th>
<th>Median (IQR) collinearity $R^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>-1.66/1.80</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implied phoneme/word cloze probability</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>0.02/2.82</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>0.05*</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.68 (0.06)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phoneme/word entropy</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>-0.36/0.36</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.67 (0.06)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Context length</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-0.09/0.12</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.06 (0.04)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sentence perceived as intact</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>-0.72/1.20</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.64 (0.23)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implied word perceived</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>-0.76/1.91</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.65 (0.24)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
differed from the word that was actually spoken. Ambiguous contexts provided little-to-no information about the missing phoneme. We expected the context manipulation to affect participants’ perception of the tones and the early neural processing of the tone.

Participant self-reports in Experiments 2 and 3 indicate that the written sentence contexts affected what participants thought they heard. Specifically, participants were more likely to report having heard words implied by informative sentence contexts than words that were actually spoken. Somewhat puzzlingly, in Experiment 3 (the ERP experiment), sentence contexts did not affect how likely participants were to hallucinate phonemes, even though informative contexts very reliably increased the likelihood of hallucination in Experiment 2 (i.e., the strictly behavioral version of Experiment 3). We do not know why this result failed to replicate, although it may be due to the differences in auditory presentation across the two experiments (e.g., headphones vs. speakers in Experiments 2 and 3, respectively) or due to differences in participant attentiveness and/or strategies.

The ERPs to tones that replaced missing phonemes also manifest clear effects of sentence context. The most pronounced difference was an N400 effect from approximately 400 to 800 ms post-tone onset. This effect was later and more temporally diffuse than is typically observed in N400 effects to spoken words (Friederici et al., 2004; Hagoort and Brown, 2000; Van Petten et al., 1999). The delayed onset of the effect is consistent with the delayed N400 effect to coughs that replaced high and low probability phonemes in Sivonen et al. (2006); it is probably indicative of delayed word recognition due to the missing phonemes and the deleted co-articulation cues. The temporal spread of this N400 effect is likely due to variability across items in the latency at which the critical words are recognizable (Grosjean, 1980).

The main purpose of this study was to detect pre-N400 effects of sentence context, if any, in the absence of auditory stimulus confounds. Based on the Sivonen et al. study, we expected the ERPs to tones that replaced contextually probable phonemes to be more negative than those to less probable phonemes in the N1 time range. Not only did we fail to replicate their effect, but we were able to reject the null hypothesis of such an effect. Thus, their reported effect is not replicated by these stimuli in this experimental paradigm. Our failure to replicate this early effect may be due to the fact that we used tones instead of coughs to replace phonemes, the fact that the difference in cloze probability between their high and low probability words was much greater than ours, and/or other factors. In particular, given the auditory confounds in their study, the sensitivity of the N1 to habituation (Naatanen and Winkler, 1999), and the magnitude of pre-stimulus noise in their ERPs (see Fig. 4 in Sivonen et al., 2006) we maintain that their early N1 effect is likely not a correlate of phoneme/word probability nor even of speech perception.

While we found no evidence of an effect of sentential context on the N1 component, we did find a somewhat later context effect from 192 to 224 ms at a left lateral occipital electrode site. This effect is mostly present at other left-posterior electrodes as well, but it failed to reach significance at other sites due to the correction for multiple statistical comparisons. The topography of this effect (especially its left occipital focus) is distinct from that of the N400 and it reflects processing of the tone or pre-tone stimuli (i.e., it is not produced by the speech following the tone). The effect correlates with the probability of the phoneme/word implied by the informative sentence contexts. As it happens, phoneme and word probability are too highly correlated in these stimuli (r = 0.94) for us to be able to determine if the effect better correlates with phoneme or with word probability. Moreover, the effect shows no evidence of being sensitive to the missing phonemes’ word position or participant perceptions.

To our knowledge this left lateral occipital effect is the clearest evidence to date of an ERP correlate of phoneme/word probability prior to the N400 effect. As reviewed in Introduction, some researchers have claimed to find pre-N400 ERP correlates of phoneme or lexical probability—the phonological mismatch negativity or the N200 correlate with potential auditory confounds like co-articulation effects in these experiments, their dissociation from N400 effects is questionable. However, given the similarity of their topographies to the N400 and the absence of controls for potential auditory confounds like co-articulation effects in these experiments, their dissociation from N400 effects is questionable. Moreover, it has yet to be demonstrated that either the phonological mismatch negativity or the N200 correlate with phoneme or lexical probabilities in a graded fashion. Those effects have only been analyzed using discrete comparisons.

### Table 3 - Results of a multiple regression analysis of the mean EEG amplitude from 192 to 224 ms post-tone onset at electrode LLOc. Degrees of freedom for all t-scores is 36. Phoneme position was coded as a value of 1 for word initial missing phonemes and 0 for word post-initial phonemes. Abbreviations: IQR = interquartile range, NA = not applicable. * indicates p-value less than 0.05.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor</th>
<th>Mean co-efficient</th>
<th>95% Coefficient interval</th>
<th>t-Score</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
<th>Cohen's d</th>
<th>Median (IQR) collinearity R²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>-0.55/0.93</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implied phoneme/word close probability</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>0.3/2.95</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>0.02*</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.53 (0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phoneme position (word initial or post-initial)</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>-0.33/1.26</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.53 (0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cloze probability x phoneme position</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-1.56/1.67</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.64 (0.05)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

11 As reviewed by Naatanen and Winkler (1999), the auditory N1’s amplitude decreases when the eliciting stimulus is preceded by sounds of similar frequency even with a lag of 10 seconds or greater. This decrease can be similar in scale to the N1 effect reported by Sivonen and colleagues (i.e., 1.71 μV). Given the broad fricative-like spectral composition of coughs, the speech preceding the coughs in Sivonen et al.’s stimuli surely led to some habituation of the N1. It is possible that this habituation was greater in their sentences with low probability critical phonemes than in their sentences with high probability phonemes and that this difference is what produced their effect.
which is a less compelling level of evidence than continuous correlations (Nature Neuroscience Editors, 2001).

That being said, it is important to note that this pre-N400 effect may be a part of the N400 effect to intact speech, given that natural intact speech has been shown to elicit an N400 effect as early as 200–300 ms post-word onset (Van Petten et al., 1999). If this is the case, then the effect would be a subcomponent of the N400 rather than a completely distinct ERP phenomena. Unfortunately, given the small size and scope of the effect, it is difficult to tell if such an effect has been found to generally precede the N400 in existing ERP speech studies. In either case, our data demonstrate that phoneme/word probability can correlate with neural processing in advance of the canonical N400 effect.

The implications of this novel early ERP effect for theories of speech comprehension are currently unknown, since we do not yet know what level of processing produces it or if it reflects processing of the tone or pre-tone stimuli. If the effect does indeed reflect phonological processing, it would support interactive models of speech processing (McClelland and Elman, 1986; McClelland et al., 2006). Future studies with stimuli that can better dissociate phonemic and word level probabilities in sentences can address this question and the methods used here (estimates of phoneme probabilities and the t\textsubscript{max} multiple comparison corrections) can help in the design and analysis of such studies.

Finally, this study informs, to a very limited extent, our understanding of the mechanisms of the phonemic restoration effect. Although our context manipulation was not successful at manipulating the likelihood of phoneme restoration in the ERP experiment, it did affect phoneme/word perceptual reports and our analysis found no evidence of any early (i.e., 250 ms or before) correlates of phoneme/word perception. This suggests that the locus of influence of sentence context on this behavior might be rather late and affecting participant reports more than participant perceptions (see Samuel, 1981, for a discussion of the distinction).

That being said, the null result may well be due to a lack of statistical power and, even if accurate, these results might not generalize to other phonemic restoration paradigms (Samuel, 1996; Shahin et al., 2009).

4. Experimental procedures

4.1. Materials

All experiments utilized a set of 148 spoken sentences as stimuli. The sentences were spoken by a female native English speaker and recorded using a Shure KSM 44 studio microphone (cardioid pickup pattern, low frequency cutoff filter at 115 Hz, 6dB-octave) in a sound attenuated chamber to a PC, digitized at a 44.1 kHz sampling rate via a Tascam FireOne. Using the software Praat (Boersma and Weenink, 2010), the sentences were stored uncompressed in Microsoft Waveform Audio File Format (mono, 16-bit, linear pulse code modulation encoding). Each spoken sentence contained a critical phoneme or, rarely, a critical consecutive pair of phonemes that was replaced by a 1 kHz pure tone with the intention of making the sentence ambiguous. For example, the labiodental fricative /l/ of the word “fountain” was the critical phoneme of the sentence:

He had fallen while climbing a fountain. (1)

Replacing /l/ with a tone made the sentence ambiguous because the final word could be “fountain” or “mountain.”

The 1 kHz tone had 10 ms rise and fall times and the peak amplitude of the tone was set to six times the 95th percentile of the absolute magnitude of all sentences. A 1 kHz tone was chosen to replace the critical phonemes because it has been shown to be effective for producing the phonemic restoration effect (Warren, 1970; Warren and Obsuk, 1971). The exact 631 start and stop time of the tone was manually determined for each sentence to make the missing phoneme as ambiguous as possible. This involved extending the tone to replace coarticulation signatures of the critical phoneme as well.

The type and location of critical phonemes varied across sentences. 70% of the critical phonemes were a single consonant, 22% were a single vowel, and 8% were two consecutive phonemes. 56% of the critical phonemes were word initial. The mean duration of tones was 141 (SD=49) ms.

Each spoken sentence was paired with an “informative” and an “ambiguous” written sentence context designed to be read before hearing the spoken sentence. The informative context was intended to make one of the possible missing phonemes, the “implied phoneme,” very likely. The implied phoneme always differed from the phoneme that had actually been spoken and replaced by a tone. For example, the informative context for the spoken sentence above was:

Victor had to go to the hospital. (3)

which made the word “mountain” likely even though “fountain” was the word that had been spoken. This was done to ensure that participant perception of the implied phoneme would be due to sentence context and not residual coarticulatory cues. For 10 of the 148 sentences the implied word was grammatical but the spoken word was not. For the remaining sentences, both implied and spoken words were grammatical.

In contrast to the informative context, the ambiguous context was intended to provide little-to-no information about the missing phoneme. For example, the informative context for the spoken sentence above was:

Victor had to go to the hospital. (3)

4.2. Participants and procedures

The participants in all three experiments were native English speakers who claimed to have normal hearing and no history of reading/speaking difficulties or psychiatric/neurological disorders. 61 young adults participated in Experiment 1 (mean age: 21 [SD=1.6]; 31 males). 34 young adults participated in Experiment 2 (mean age: 20 [SD=1.4] years; 12 males) and another 37 participated in Experiment 3 (mean age: 20 [SD=2.4] years; 17 males). The volunteers were all 18 years of age or older and participated in the experiments for class
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credit or pay after providing informed consent. Each volunteer participated in only one of the experiments. The University of California, San Diego Institutional Review Board approved the experimental protocol.

4.3. Procedure

4.3.1. Experiment 1: cloze norming

In order to estimate the probability of the critical phonemes and words, a standard cloze norming procedure (Taylor, 1953) was executed. Each participant heard the beginning of all 148 spoken sentences once. Specifically, they heard each sentence from the beginning up to the point where the tone would begin; they did not hear the tone. Prior to hearing a sentence, participants read either the informative or ambiguous written sentence context for that sentence. The type of context was randomly determined for each participant with the constraint that 50% of the contexts were informative.

Stimuli were presented to participants via headphones and a computer monitor. Written sentences were presented for 350 ms multiplied by the number of words in the sentence minus one. Subsequent to each spoken sentence, participants were asked to type the first completion of the sentence that came to mind. Participants were told that if the sentence ended mid-word, they should start their completion with that word. If the participants had no idea how the sentence should continue, they were instructed to skip the trial.

After typing in a completion, participants were presented with a binary multiple-choice comprehension question to ensure that they had read the spoken sentence context. After each comprehension question response, they were told whether or not their response was accurate. Participants were told to concentrate equally on both tasks, even though they were only getting feedback on the comprehension questions.

Before beginning the experiment, participants were given demonstrations and practice trials to ensure they understood the task. In addition, participants were allowed to manually adjust the headphone volume before beginning the experiment. The mean number of participants who normed each item-context pair was 29 (SD=3.9).

4.3.2. Experiment 2: phonemic restoration behavioral experiment

In order to determine if the written sentence context manipulation was capable of affecting the phonemic restoration effect, a behavioral experiment was conducted. This experiment was identical to Experiment 1 save for the following changes:

1. Participants heard each spoken sentence in its entirety.
2. Subsequent to hearing a spoken sentence, participants were not asked to continue the spoken sentence. Rather, they were presented with a written version of sentence with a blank space in place of the word containing the critical phoneme. For example, if participants heard Example Sentence 1 (see above), they would be shown:

   He had fallen while climbing a ________.

   Participants were instructed to fill-in-the blank by typing what they thought they heard. If they thought the word was intact, they were instructed to type the word they heard. If they thought any part of the word had been replaced by a tone, they were instructed to use a single asterisk to represent the missing portion. If the participants had no idea what the critical word was, they were instructed to type a question mark.

   (3) When participants were introduced to the experiment, they were told that some sentences would have part of a word replaced by a tone and that others would co-occur with a tone. Participants were told this under the assumption that they would experience the phonemic restoration effect for some stimuli and not others, even though all spoken sentences in the experiment were missing phonemes.

   In addition, the participants were told that some spoken sentences might not make sense (e.g., “A few people each year are attacked by parks.”) and were asked to report what they heard as accurately as possible (regardless of how much sense it made).

4.3.3. Experiment 3: phonemic restoration EEG experiment

The procedure for Experiment 3 was the same as that for Experiment 2, save for the following changes:

1. Spoken sentences were presented via wall-mounted speakers instead of headphones. Participants were not allowed to manually adjust the volume. Auditory stimuli were presented with tones at 93 dB peak SPLa as measured with a precision sound meter positioned to approximate the location of the participant’s right ear (Bruel and Kjaer model 2235 fitted with a 4178 microphone).

2. Responses to comprehension questions were given verbally instead of typed and perceptual reports were typed into a spreadsheet. These changes were made to accommodate the stimulus presentation/EEG recording hardware in the EEG recording chamber.

3. One-quarter of the way into the experiment, participants were given a break and their auditory reports examined. If the participants had indicated that all of the sentences were missing phonemes or that all of the sentences were intact, we repeated the experimental instructions to make sure they understood the task. Again, although all the sentences were missing phonemes, participants were expected to experience the phonemic restoration effect for some stimuli and not others. The experimental instructions were repeated for five participants.

4. In addition to the sentence task, participants were given a simple tone counting task. 74 1 kHz tones of various durations were pseudorandomly divided into three blocks and participants were asked to silently count them. The three blocks were interleaved with two blocks of the sentence task. The purpose of the counting task was to obtain clean measures of each participant’s auditory response to such tones. The data collected during this task turned out not to be of much relevance to the study and will not be discussed further.
4.4. Phonetic transcription

In order to quantify the cloze probability of critical phonemes, the 2,288 unique participant responses in Experiment 1 were phonetically transcribed using the Carnegie Mellon University Pronouncing Dictionary (CMUdict—Weide, 2009). CMUdict consists of North American English phonetic transcriptions of over 125,000 words based on a set of 39 phonemes. 21 of the 2,288 participant responses were not found in CMUdict and were transcribed using American English entries in the Longman Pronunciation Dictionary (Wells, 1990). Finally, 25 of the 2,265 participant responses were not found in either dictionary and were manually transcribed. Transcription was complicated by the fact that some words can be pronounced in multiple ways. When pronunciation depended on word meaning (e.g., the noun “resume” vs. the verb “resume”), the appropriate pronunciation was selected. For the remaining ambiguous 247 items, each possible pronunciation was treated as equally likely. Incorrectly spelled participant responses were corrected before phonetic transcription.

4.5. EEG recording parameters and preprocessing

The electroencephalogram (EEG) was recorded from 26 tin electrodes embedded in an Electro-cap arrayed in a laterally symmetric quasi-geodesic pattern of triangles approximately 4 cm on a side (see Fig. 3), referenced to the left mastoid. Additional electrodes located below each eye and adjacent to the outer canthus of each eye were used to monitor and correct for blinks and eye movements. Electrode impedances were kept below 5 KΩ. EEG was amplified by Nicolet Model SM2000 bioamplifiers set to a bandpass of 0.016–100 Hz and a sensitivity of 200 or 500 (for non-periocular and periocular channels respectively). EEG was continuously digitized (12-bits, 250 samples/s) and stored on hard disk for later analysis.

EEG data was re-referenced off-line to the algebraic sum of the left and right mastoids and divided into 1020 ms, non-overlapping epochs extending from 100 ms before to 920 ms after tone onset (both sentence embedded and counting task tones). Each epoch was 50 Hz low-pass filtered and the mean of each epoch was removed. After filtering, individual artifact-polluted epochs were rejected via a combination of visual inspection and objective tests designed to detect blocking, drift, and outlier epochs (EEGLAB Toolbox, Delorme and Makeig, 2004). After epochs were rejected, the mean number of epochs per participant was 126 (SD = 10). Extended InfoMax independent components analysis (ICA—Lee et al., 1999) was then applied to remove EEG artifacts generated by blinks, eye movements, muscle activity, and heart beat artifact via sets of spatial filters (Jung et al., 2000). The mean number of independent components removed per participant was 12 (SD = 3). Time-domain average ERPs to the tones embedded in sentences were subsequently computed after subtraction of the 100 ms prestimulus baseline.
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